Case 5:10-cy-00102 Decline of 2255 File diacATE PSET ASIDE OR CORRECT (195) SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY

AC-243 (Rev. 2/95)

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	S	outhern Dist	rict of Texas							
Name	of Movant Baudel Ortiz	Prisoner N	0.	Case No. 5:05-CR-229-5							
Place	of Confinement Federal Medical Center, Box	x 4000.	Rochester,	5:10-W-102 MN・55903-4000							
Ų	outhern District Court outhern District of Texas UNIFED STATES OF AMERICA SEP 1 4 2010	V.	Baudel Ort								
	David J. Bradley, Clerk MC Laredo Division	OTION	,								
1.	. Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attackU.S. District Court										
	Southern District of Texas, Loredo, Texas.										
2.	Date of judgment of conviction October 15, 2	8008									
3.	Length of sentence120 months										
4.	Nature of offense involved (all counts) Conspirac	y to tr	ansport undo	ocumented aliens							
-1.	into the United States for priva										
	motor vehicle in violation of 8	U.S.C.	§§ 1324(a)(1	.)(A)(v)(I),							
	(1)(B)(i)(R.22-27)										
5.	What was your plea? (Check one) (a) Not guilty (b) Guilty (c) Nolo contendere If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indicument, are	nd not a gui	lty plea to another	count or indictment, give details:							
6.	If you pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you have? (a) Jury (b) Judge only	(Check or	ne)								
7.	Did you testify at the trial? Yes □ No ☒										
90	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes ¬XX No□			_							

30 293 (Rev. 2/95 Case 5:10-cv-00102 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 09/14/10 Page 2 of 7 9. If you did appeal, answer the following: (a) Name of court ____ The Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals (b) Result _____ Afirmed the conviction and sentence (c) Date of result ______ October 8, 2009 10. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, applications, or motions with respect to this judgment in any federal court? No 🖾 Yes 🗍 11. If your answer to 10 was "yes," give the following information: (a) (1) Name of court (2) Nature of proceeding (3) Grounds raised _____ (4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes □ No □ (5) Result (6) Date of result (b) As to any second petition, application or motion give the same information: (1) Name of court (2) Nature of proceeding (3) Grounds raised

AO 243 (Rev. 2/95) (4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes 🗆 No□ (5) Result __ (6) Date of result ____ (c) Did you appeal, to an appellate federal court having jurisdiction, the result of action taken on any petition, application or motion? No 🗆 Yes 🗌 (1) First petition, etc. No □ Yes 🗆 (2) Second petition, etc. (d) If you did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not: 12. State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the constitution, laws or treaties of the United States. Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts supporting the same. If you fail to set forth all grounds in this motion, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date. For your information, the following is a list of the most frequently raised grounds for relief in these proceedings. Each statement preceded by a letter constitutes a separate ground for possible relief. You may raise any grounds which you may have

other than those listed. However, you should raise in this motion all available grounds (relating to this conviction) on which you base your allegations that you are being held in custody unlawfully.

Do not check any of these listed grounds. If you select one or more of these grounds for relief, you must allege facts. The motion will be returned to you if you merely check (a) through (j) or any one of the grounds.

- (a) Conviction obtained by plea of guilty which was unlawfully induced or not made voluntarily or with understanding of the nature of the charge and the consequences of the plea.
- (b) Conviction obtained by use of coerced confession.

- (c) Conviction obtained by use of evidence gained pursuant to an unconstitutional search and seizure.
- (d) Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest.
- (e) Conviction obtained by a violation of the privilege against self-incrimination.
- (f) Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant.
- (g) Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy.
- (h) Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impaneled.
- (i) Denial of effective assistance of counsel.

• •	Ground one: Ineffective Assistance of Counsel
A.	Ground one: Ineffective Assistance of Counsel
	Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law)
	Trial Counsel failed to advise Petitioner Ortiz that he would
	face deportation as a result of pleading guilty. Petitioner is
	a permanent resident of the United States, with a permanent "Green
	Card." Also, Counsel advised him that he would receive a "lighter
В.	sentence" if he would plead guilty and not go to trial. He. instead received the statutory maximum. Ground two:
	Petitioner entered a guilty plea, unknowingly and unintelligently.
	Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law)
	Prior to the Plea Hearing, Trial Counsel advised Petitioner that
	he would face a sentence of imprisonment of up to 63 months. He
	was thereby not aware of the relevant and likely circumstances and
	consequences. The Court did tell Petitioner that the statutory
	sentence was 10 years, but Petitioner believed what his Counsel
C.	had advised him, 63 months, thereby Petitioner was not knowingly aware Ground three:
	Petitioner's Sixth Amendment Right was violated.
	Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law)
	Petitioner was found guilty of facts, without admitting to them
	or by a jury, and those facts were then used to increase the
	sentence. The Court had discretion to sentence Petitioner, but
	not to find facts that a jury did not find, then increase his
	sentence because of those facts.

@0 243 (Rev. 2/96) ase 5:10-cv-00102 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 09/14/10 Page 5 of 7

;									····				
	(1)	In any post-	-conviction	proceeding									
1	(g)	On appeal fi	om any ad	verse ruling	in a post	:convict	ion pro	ceeding .					
a	appr	e you senten	same time		ount of a	ın indictn	nent, or	on mor	e than o	ne indic	tment, i	n the san	ne court ar
	_		o [XX.									_	
	Do y Yes	ou have any □ N	future senta o 🖾	ence to serve	: after you	u comple	te the s	entence	imposed	by the j	udgmen	t under a	ttack?
((a)	If so, give na	ne and loca	tion of cour	which in	nposed se	entence	to be ser	rved in t	he futur	e:		
	-												
((b)	Give date and	i length of	the above se	ntence: _		<u> </u>	San Colo			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Have you file served in the Yes		ou contempl	ate filing,	, any peri	ition att	acking t	he judgr	nent wh	ich impo	osed the	sentence t
e e	Who	erefore, mova	int prays th	at the Court	grant pet	titioner n	elief to	which he	or she	nay be	entitled i	n this pro	oceeding.
							-		Sign	ature of	Attorn	ey (if an	y)
	I de	clare under p	enaity of po	erjury that th	ie foregoi	ing is tru	e and co	rrect. E	xecuted	on			
-		8-3(- (Date)	-(0			·,	·			<u> </u>	7		
		+ b .						CAA	100	Signan	re of M	lovant	

David J. Bradley, Clerk Laredo Divison United States District of Texas Southern District of Texas SEP 14 2010

U.S. Proballon TX

Rochester, MN. 55903-4000 Baudel Ortiz Reg. No. 46022-279 Federal Medical Center Box 4000